

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,499	12/17/2003	Albert E. Plomp	2461-003	6256	
75	90 06/09/2006		EXAMINER		
Donald C. Casey			THOMPSON,	THOMPSON, KENNETH L	
Suite 100 311 North Washington Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA		3672			
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/200	DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before th Filing of an Appeal Bri f

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/736,499	PLOMP, ALBERT E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kenneth Thompson	3672		

	Kenneth Thompson	3672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			00000
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Aimento filad amandona	mt cancalina tha
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will be will	ii be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-4,7 and 8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidar	otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	or be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does_NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(DTO/SR/08 or DTO 1/40) Paper N	No(e)	
13. Other:	(F10/35/00 01 F10-1449) Faper1	(0(s).	_/
10. [_] Outer		The Y	
	•	Kenneth Thompso Primary Examiner	n
	18	Art Unit: 3672	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The elastomeric sleeve being of a harder material than the elastomeric lip and both being from polyurethane constitutes a new issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
Applicants argue dynamic seal of the prior art is not intended for the same purpose.
However is is noted the claims do not require a stationary nor dynamic packing.
Applicants argue the prior art does not include polyuerthane as a material for the rigid section.
However the process of making an article is not germane to the patentability of the article itself. The recitation "constructed form polyurethane" is a method step not requiring said polyurethane to remain a constituent.